

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,666	10/18/2001	Dennis R. Trumble	AHS-15	7647
7	590 07/01/2003			
Ansel M. Schwartz			EXAMINER	
Suite 304 201 N. Craig Street			MACHUGA, JOSEPH S	
Pittsburgh, PA	15213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<b>*</b>
· -		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/982,666 TRUMBLE, DENNIS		·
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph S. Machuga	3762	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	-
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communical	ation.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		•
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			ts is
•	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
· _	Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) 2,3,9 and 13 is/are rejected.	4-		
•	Claim(s) <u>4-8,10-12,14 and 15</u> is/are objected			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ion Papers	or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		he Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in A	Application No	
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	cation).
·	a)  The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has b	een received.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>
C Datast and	Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/982,666 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liotta (5456715) in view of Trumble (5479946) and Hutchins (4185617).
- 3. Liotta disclose a muscle energy converter having casing (a); fluid ports (11", 11"); a bladder type mechanism within the casing to hold fluid (best illustrated in figure 6A) and an actuator arm mechanism (1-6, 23-26.) The reference does not disclose the bellows or explicitly state that the arm mechanism is attached to the tendon.
- 4. Tremble teaches that it is desirable to attach a muscle energy converter to the tendon to provide a stable long lasting physical connection. This is accomplished by suturing the tendon to a patch of Dacron or Gortex (column 6 lines 1-8.)
- 5. Hutchins discloses a cardiac assist device that uses a bellows type pump to transfer fluid.
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attach Liotta's device directly to a tendon given Trembles teaching that this provides a long lasting physical connection. To use a bellows type chamber instead of a bladder type fluid chamber in Liotta's device would have been obvious given Hutchins disclosure that this is a well know alternative and would offer less resistance than a bladder type assembly.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,666 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

The method of claim 9 is considered provided for by this combination since the actuator arm assembly member 24 would rotate about a pivot point as clearly illustrated in figure 6A.

- 7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liotta (5456715) in view of Tremble (5479946), Hutchins (4185617) and Norin (1905047).
- 8. Liotta disclose a muscle energy converter having casing (a); fluid ports (11", 11"); a bladder type mechanism within the casing to hold fluid (best illustrated in figure 6A) and an actuator arm mechanism (1-6, 23-26.) The reference does not disclose the bellows, roller bearing cam follower or explicitly state that the arm mechanism is attached to the tendon.
- 9. Tremble teaches that it is desirable to attach a muscle energy converter to the tendon to provide a stable long lasting physical connection. This is accomplished by suturing the tendon to a patch of Dacron or Gortex (column 6 lines 1-8.)
- 10. Norin teaches that a roller bearing cam follower assembly is an old and well know means to convert vertical reciprocal movement to horizontal reciprocal movement.
- 11. Hutchins discloses a cardiac assist device that uses a bellows type pump to transfer fluid.
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attach Liotta's device to a tendon given Trembles teaching that this provides a long lasting physical connection. To use a bellows type chamber instead of a bladder type fluid chamber in Liotta's device would have been obvious given Hutchins disclosure that this is a well

Application/Control Number: 09/982,666 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

know alternative and would offer less resistance than a bladder type assembly. To use a roller bearing cam assembly to convert the vertical reciprocal movement of the arm assembly to horizontal reciprocal movement in place of the lever assembly 23 is considered obvious in view of Norin which teaches that this arrangement is old, well know and dependable alternative.

- 13. Claim 1 is allowable.
- 14. Claims 4-8, 10-12, 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Saunders et al, Cotton de Bennetot et al, Isaacson, Gao, Hill and Heilman et al are cited to show related blood pumps. Abramson, Rohr, Fischer, Harcourt, Grotness et al, Nomura et al and Ames are cited to show related actuating mechanisms.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4520 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Joseph S. Machuga

Examiner Art Unit 3762

June 25, 2003

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel. D. Softy